© Kamla-Raj 2014 PRINT: ISSN 0970-9274 ONLINE: ISSN 2456-6608

Demographic and Socio-economic Factors Affecting Contraceptive Use in Malawi

Martin Enock Palamuleni

North West University, Mafikeng Campus, Private Bag X2046, Mmabatho 2735, Republic of South Africa Email: martin.palamuleni@nwu.ac.za

KEYWORDS Malawi. Contraceptive Use. Logistic Regression. Socio-economic. Demographic and Health Survey

ABSTRACT Malawi has one of the highest Contraceptive Prevalence Rates (CPR) in sub-Saharan Africa. However, fertility remains high and fertility decline is slow. This paper uses data from the 2000 and 2004 Demographic and Health Surveys to examine correlates of contraceptive use among currently married women in Malawi. Bivariate and multivariate logistic regression analyses were used to establish the relationships between socioeconomic variables and current use of contraception. The results show that the major determinants of contraceptive use are age, respondents' and partners' approval of family planning, family planning discussion with partner, number of living children, work status, education and visit to a health centre. As a policy measure, information, education and communication programmes on family planning should be intensified, particularly in rural areas and targeting men.

INTRODUCTION

The persistently high levels of fertility in Malawi, combined with declining mortality, have given rise to rapid growth in population. The population growth rate has increased from 2.0% to 2.7% per annum during the respective periods 1987-1998 and 1998-2008 (Malawi Government 2010a). The high population growth has contributed to environmental degradation, increased poverty and a deteriorating quality of life for the majority of the people in the country. The negative consequences of rapid population growth compelled the government to draft and adopt the population policy that aimed at reducing the rate of population growth to manageable levels (Malawi Government 1994a).

In the past, the high fertility in Malawi has been attributed to a low level of contraceptive use (Malawi Government 1994). This may not be the case now as Malawi has one of the highest Contraceptive Prevalence Rates (CPR) on the African continent (United Nations 2011). According to the 2011 World Contraceptive Use data sheet, the CPR in Malawi is estimated at 41%, which is nearly twice the estimate for Sub-Saharan Africa (United Nations 2011). Such a high CPR is somewhat surprising given that Malawi is a relatively new comer in the area of family planning. The national family planning programme was introduced in the late 1960s in Kenya, early 1970s in Ghana and mid 1970s in South Africa (Chimbwete et al. 2005). Although the initial attempts to introduce family planning in

Malawi were in the early 1960s, the programme was banned in the late 1960s due to public misconceptions about its intent (Chimbwete et al. 2005). In 1982, the Malawi government approved and established the national child spacing programme following nearly two decades of dialogue on the need to revive the family planning programme.

Since then there have been a number of improvements in the provision of family planning services in Malawi. First, an increasing number of institutions are involved in the provision of family planning in Malawi. Family planning service provision is an integral part of maternal and child health services of the Ministry of Health and some private and mission hospitals. In addition, some Non Governmental Organizations and private companies such as Banja La Mtsongolo (BLM), Family Planning Association of Malawi (FPAM), ADMARC, Limbe Leaf Tobacco Company operates family planning clinics. Second, non-prescriptive contraceptives are also distributed through commercial outlets (say, pharmacies) and Community Based Distributors of contraceptives (field workers). Third, an enabling environment for family planning provision has been established by formulating appropriate policy guidelines and providing basic and refresher courses to family planning providers (Solo et. al. 2005). The policy guidelines which were first developed in 1992 and revised in 1996 removed barriers of spousal consent, age, and parity and allowed a wider range of cadres to offer various services.

The family planning programme in Malawi seems to have succeeded in narrowing the gap between the knowledge and ever use of contraceptives. However the success in reducing the level of fertility is limited (ACQUIRE Project 2005). The Total Fertility Rate (TFR) has marginally declined from 6.7 children per woman in 1992 to 6.4 children per woman in 2000 and 6.0 children per woman in 2004 (Malawi Government 1994b, 2002, 2006). The 2010 Malawi Demographic and Health Survey estimate TFR in Malawi to be 5.6 children per woman (Malawi Government 2010a). These estimates indicate a decline of 1.1 children in 18 years. The CPR has increased sixfold, from 7% in 1992 to 22% in 2004 and 46% in 2010 (Malawi Government 1994b, 2002, 2006). Given the minimal impact of contraception on fertility in Malawi a number of questions come to mind: why has fertility not declined by the same magnitude as the increase in contraceptive use? Are women using effective methods? What should be done to encourage Malawian men and women to use contraceptives with the aim of realising their fertility desires and goals? The implications of these questions to this study are that there is need to understand why women in Malawi are using contraceptives.

A number of studies have been conducted in Malawi to investigate the correlates of contraceptive use in Malawi (Cohen 2000; Kalipeni and Zulu 1993; Kishindo 1995; Madise and Diamond 1993; Kalanda 2010). However these studies have focussed on small areas (Kalanda 2010) or have made use of earlier datasets conducted in the initial stages of the family planning programme. For instance, Cohen (2000) used the 1992 MDHS whereas Kalipeni and Zulu (1993) and Madise and Diamond (1993) relied on the study that was conducted in 1988 by the university of Malawi. Given the availability of new data sets and the fact that contraceptive use is one of the indicators of millennium development goals, there is need to re-examine the correlates of contraceptive use in Malawi.

In an attempt to answer some of these questions this paper analyses, at the individual level, a number of socio-economic factors associated with the use of modern contraception in Malawi. It is hoped that the findings of this study will assist the Government of Malawi to reposition the national family planning programme in the country.

Objectives

Against the backdrop, the overall objective of the study is to determine the factors affecting contraceptive use among women in Malawi. The study has the following two specific objectives: (a) to examine the relationship between contraceptive use and social, demographic and economic characteristics of women; and (b) to identify the determinants of contraceptive use among women in Malawi.

Determinants of Contraceptive Use

This section reviews the literature on the determinants of contraceptive use especially in developing countries. The aim is to present the theoretical framework that is used to guide the empirical analysis that follows. The simple framework used in this paper is based on the understanding that determinants of contraceptive use extend from the attributes of the individual, through resources of the household and community in which (s)he lives, to socio-cultural mores and institutions that affect autonomy, behaviour and lifestyle, and access to health care services (National Research Council 1993). These factors are inter-related, complex and vary from one society to another. For simplicity, determinants of contraceptive use have been grouped into three categories: demographic, socio-economic and attitudinal factors.

Demographic Factors

Different studies have identified such demographic factors as age of women, number of living children, desired family size and experience of child death as major factors that influence contraceptive use (Robey et al. 1992). Contraceptive use is lowest among young women, reaches a peak among women in their thirties and declines among older women (Robey et al. 1992). This is indicative of a high desire for child bearing among young women, and a high growing interest of spacing births among women in their thirties. Percentage of users declines at older ages of reproduction; probably this may be because they are not at a risk of pregnancy. Studies have shown that the use of contraception increases with parity of woman up to the third or fourth child and then decline thereafter (Dang 1995; Shah et al. 1998; Mamdani et al. 1993). This is partly because, many women have a desire to space births at early reproductive age and seek to stop after the desired family size has been achieved.

Survival status of children is likely to affect the practice of contraception. Parents who have experienced a death of a child may be less likely to use contraceptives than others of the same parity (Shah et al. 1998; Mamdani et al. 1993; Adanu et al. 2009; Black et al. 2009). This may arise from the desire to replace a dead child or to ensure against childlessness contributes to high fertility.

Studies indicate that women in a polygamous marriage are less likely to use contraceptives than women who live in monogamous marriages (Audu et al. 2008). A lower frequency of intercourse for women in polygamous marriages can discourage them from using contraception. Also, these women are likely to adhere to traditional values and customs that encourage large families.

Socio-economic Factors

It has also been hypothesized that there is a positive correlation between contraceptive use and level of education (Feyisetan 2000; Hialemariam et al. 1999; Tawiah 1997; Saleem and Martin 2005; Stephenson et al. 2007; Rutherford et al. 1989). Other things being equal the higher the level of education the higher contraceptive use is expected to be. Although both the wives' and husbands' education is important there appears to be a consensus that the former is more important than the latter.

Use of family planning is higher in urban than rural areas. Urban-rural difference in the adoption of contraception is the highest in SSA, where the rate is more than twice as high as among urban than among rural in all surveyed countries (Curtis and Katherine 1996).

The observed place of residence variation, in the practice of contraception, may be attributed to differences in the availability of social services. Such as, education information about method and access to family planning and health care services which are among the important ones.

Religious affiliation also affects contraceptive use (Ullah and Chakraborty 1996; Yeatman and Trinitapoli 2008; Gregson et al. 1999; Gyimah et al. 2008). Religions differ in their stand on fertility regulation and among the major world religions, Catholicism and Islam are widely regarded as pronatalist in their ideology. However, the relationship between religion and contraceptive use is much more complex than expected. In one study conducted in India, it was discovered that even though the average number of children born to a Muslim or Christian couple is higher than that born to a Hindu couple, the acceptance of sterilization to limit family size was greater among Muslims and Christians than Hindus (Ullah and Chakraborty 1996). A study of contraceptive use in Bangladesh found that Muslim women here were less likely to use contraception than Hindu women (Ullah and Chakraborty 1996). The strength of one's religiosity or degree of one's adherence to the norms of a given religion may exert an influence on ones' mode of life including reproductive behaviour. Furthermore, studies in developing countries reveal that social, cultural and religious unacceptability of contraception frequently emerged as an obstacle to use contraception (Oni and McCarthy 1986; Caldwell and Caldwell 1987; Vassoff 1990).

The work status of women has also been linked to knowledge and use of contraceptives. Women who work outside the home have higher rate of use than women who do not work outside home (housewives) (Robey et al. 1992; Gage 1995; Hialemariam et al. 1999). Working women, particularly, those who earn cash incomes are assumed to have greater control over household decisions and increased awareness of the world outside home. Consequently, they have more control over reproductive decisions (Gage 1995; Hialemariam et al. 1999). Some studies also add that paid work also provides alternative satisfactions for women, which may complete with bearing and rearing children and may promote contraceptive use.

Attitudinal Factors towards Family Planning

Traditional African society is constructed in such a way that high fertility and large surviving families have usually been economically and socially rewarding in contrast to modern societies. In this context African societies may offer resistance to contraceptive use and fertility control (Oni and McCarthy 1986; Caldwell and Caldwell 1987). Generally speaking, Sub-Saharan Africa is known for low literacy rate, poor access to information, poor health care and other infrastructure services. All these factors have strong correlation with family planning program.

Individual's awareness for family planning is among the important variables in influencing the use of contraceptives. Studies have documented that some of the obstacles individuals or couples who want to delay or avoid a birth include lack of knowledge about methods and how to use or where to obtain services (Robey et al. 1992). Thus knowing at least one method of contraceptives is an essential pre-condition for the practice of contraception.

In addition to the above-mentioned factors that are known to effect on the contraception behaviour of women, various research findings show that a woman may not use or even not want to use contraceptives because of disapproval of the husband, the family for fear of side effects, unavailability and in accessibility of contraceptives (Robey et al. 1992). Husbands' approval is also of paramount importance in the adoption of contraceptive use (Nwankwo and Ogueri 2006). Especially in traditional societies, where issues related to procreation are hardly discussed by marital partners, husbands are the primary decision makers in reproductive matters.

The Setting

Malawi is a land-locked country in southern Africa, bordered by three other countries: Tanzania in the north, Zambia in the west and Mozambique in the east and south. According to the 2008 population census, of the 13.1 million people living in Malawi, 13 percent of the population resides in urban areas (Malawi Government 2010b). Like many developing sub-Saharan countries, Malawi continues to struggle with very poor socio-economic and demographic indicators. It is one of the poorest countries in the world with a Human Development Index of 0.404 in 2009 and ranked 166 out of 178 countries (UNDP 2009). Malawi switched from a single party system of government to a multiparty democracy in 1994. The re-introduction of multiparty politics in Malawi also saw the approval of family planning and the introduction of free primary education and the consequent increase in literacy rates especially among women. For instance, adult literacy rates for women aged 15-49 years increased from 49% in 2000 to 62% in 2004 (Malawi Government 2002, 2006). It is expected that these changes will lead to improved socio-economic and demographic condition including increased contraceptive use and reduced fertility.

DATA SOURCES AND METHODS

Sources of Data

The study is based on the analysis of data obtained from the 2000 and 2004 Malawi Demographic and Health surveys (Malawi Government 2002, 2006). Both surveys were nationally representative surveys designed to provide information on levels and trends in fertility, family planning knowledge and use, and early childhood mortality and morbidity in Malawi. Full details of the sampling methodology employed in collecting the data are described in the appropriate survey reports (Malawi Government 2002, 2006).

The MDHSs involved the use of three basic questionnaires: household, male and female questionnaires. First, the household questionnaire recorded information on all household members. Second, the individual men questionnaire was administered to men aged 15-54 years. A total of 3092 and 3261 men were interviewed in 2000 and 2004 respectively. The male questionnaire was similar to that of the individual women questionnaire but excluded the birth history and maternal and child health sections. Third, the individual women questionnaire recorded detailed information on eligible women who were identified from the household questionnaires. The 2000 MDHS collected data for 13220 women aged 15-49 whereas the 2004 DHS collected data for 11698 women of in the same age range. The questionnaires on individuals collected information on the respondent's background characteristics, reproductive history, knowledge and practice of family planning, breast-feeding practices, marriage, fertility preferences etc., as well as on her husband's background characteristics. The analyses in this paper will use data from the individual women questionnaire only. The study population comprised a sub sample of currently married women aged 15-49 years old. Currently married included women who reported that they were married or living together at the time of the survey. The study population comprised 9361 and 8385 women in 2000 and 2004 respectively.

METHODS

Three approaches were used in the analysis. Descriptive univariate analyses were performed to inspect the frequency distributions of the variables. Bivariate analysis was employed to examine the relationships of the independent variables and contraceptive use. Chi-square tests of independence were conducted for categorical variables. Significant differences were determined using chi-square at p<0.05. Lastly logistic regression was used to examine the impact of social and economic factors on contraceptive use in Malawi. The use of the logistic regression is based on the fact the dependent variable is dichotomous.

Description of Variables

The dependent variable for this analysis, contraceptive use, was obtained from a question in the section on contraception in the individual woman's questionnaire. Women were asked the following question: "Are you currently doing something or using any method of contraception to delay or avoid getting pregnant?" if a woman reported that she was using any method, she was coded "1" and "0" for otherwise.

The independent variables were selected for inclusion in the analysis based on their significance in previous studies of contraceptive behavior or on their hypothesized association with contraceptive use. All the independent variables with exception of wealth status were obtained from the various sections on the women questionnaire. In this study wealth index was constructed using the following household assets data: electricity, radio, TV, bicycle, motorbike and car. Each item was given a score and it was summed across items for each household. Individual wealth was ranked as poor; middle and rich based on the total score.

RESULTS

Characteristics of Respondents

Table 1 gives the summary statistics of the study population. The majority of the respondents lived in rural areas (80% in 2000 and 88% in 2004). The 2008 population census suggests that the percentage of the population living in urban areas is 17% (Malawi Government 2010b).

This means that the urban population is over represented in the study population in 2000 and the opposite is true in 2004. The majority of the respondents were in the Southern Region (49% in 2000 and 51% in 2004), followed by Central Region and then Northern Region. The 2008 population census indicate that the highest population is in the Southern Region (45%), followed by Central Region (42%) and lowest in the Northern Region (13%) (Malawi Government 2010b). This finding is consistent with the distribution of the population at the national level where the southern region is home to almost half of the population. The majority of the study population have primary education (61% in 2000 and 62% in 2004), followed by no education (30% in 2000 and 28% in 2004), then secondary education (8% in 2000 and 10% in 2004). There are very few respondents in the higher education category (0.2% in 2000 and 0.4 in 2004).

Bivariate Analysis

Table 1 shows the percentage distribution of currently married women aged 15–49 currently using contraception by selected demographic and socioeconomic characteristics. The use of contraception among currently married women has slightly increased from 30.2% in 2000 to 31.3% in 2004. Table 1 also shows significant variations in contraceptive use by most socioeconomic and demographic characteristics.

Current use is positively associated with respondent's age, number of living children and level of education. Use of contraceptives among currently married women increases with age of the woman reaching a maximum in age 40-44 and declines slightly in age group 45-49. The low contraceptive prevalence among women aged 15-19 years may be due to the fact that most of these are newly married, and marriage is looked upon as an institution of producing children. Young mothers may also have problems with accessing family planning services. The reduced contraceptive use among older women may be related to the fact that they have reduced their coital frequency and most of them rely on other methods like string tie and are afraid to talk about them in an interview. However, a good number of older women might be not sexually active.

Contraceptive use among currently married women increases with education level of both women and their spouses. In 2000 contraceptive prevalence was 22%, 26% and 42% among women with no education, primary education and secondary and higher education, respectively. Similar percentages in 2004 were 22%, 28% and 40%. The percentage using contraceptives has remained unchanged for women with no education, increased for women with primary education and declined for women in secondary and higher education. This pattern suggests that the increase in contraceptive use observed between 2000 and 2004 was largely due to the increase among women with primary education.

Number of children ever born and number of living children also influences contraceptive use among currently married women in Malawi. Based on 2000 MDHS, contraceptive use was 1.8% among women with no children, 21.8% among women with 1-2 children, 28.6% among women with 3-4 children and 36% among women with 5 children or more. In addition, in 2002, contraceptive use was 2.6% among women with no living children, 22.5% among women with 1-2 living children, 31.4% among women with 3-4 living children and 40.7% among women with 5 living children or more.

The 2000 MDHS indicate that use of contraceptives among currently married women is highest in Northern Region, followed by Central Region and lowest in Southern Region. Table 1 further reveals that currently married Malawian women residing in urban areas have a higher contraceptive prevalence than those residing in rural areas. There is also an indication that contraceptive use has remained unchanged in urban areas and has increased tremendously in rural areas. However given the fact that the 2000 survey overrepresented the urban strata, this observation should be treated with caution.

As expected, both the respondent's and her spouse's approval of family planning promotes higher contraceptive use. Both data sets indicate that contraceptive prevalence among women whose husbands approve of family planning was nearly 34% as opposed to 10% in 2000 and 14% in 2004 among women whose husbands disapproves of family planning. Current use of modern contraceptives is directly related to frequency of discussion of family planning with the partner. In 2000 contraceptive prevalence was 11% among women who never discussed family planning with their partner, 27% among women who had discussed once or twice and 40% among women who had discussed family planning often with their partners. Similar percentages in 2004 were 28%, 22% and 26% respectively.

Multivariate Analysis

All the variables that were found to be statistically significant in the bivariate analyses were used to examine the determinants of current use of contraception among women through the execution of a multivariate analytical technique based on logistic regression. Preliminary runs of the logistic regression model gave rise to large regression coefficients and the standard errors for knowledge and ever use of contraceptives. Although these variables are important determinants of current contraceptive use they were not included in the final regression model. The variables that were found to greatly influence current use of contraceptives after keeping the other explanatory variables constant are presented in Table 2. The results of logistic regression analysis are given as regression coefficients, odds ratios (if greater than unity, the probability of being a current user is higher than that of being a non-user), and p-values, to assess the relative importance of the selected variables.

According to Table 2, multi-variant logistic regression analysis shows that the variables that explain most of the variations in current contraceptive use in Malawi are: age, region, wealth, education, children ever born, number of living children, husbands approval of family planning, respondents approval of family planning, discussion of family planning with partner, partners occupation and respondent's work status.

Age of the respondent is one the variables influencing current contraceptive use in Malawi. In 2000, women in age groups 15-19, 20-24 and 25-29 are 2.14, 2.35, 2.04 times more likely to use contraceptives than in age group 45-49 whereas women in age groups 30-34, 35-39 and 40-44 are 1.46, 1.44 and 1.16 times more likely to use contraceptives than women in age group 45-49. In general with exception of age group 20-24 in 2000, the odds ratio decrease with increasing age of the respondent probably reflecting the decline in contraceptive use as women become older.

The prevalence of contraception depends to a large extent on the type of the place of resi-

| | | 2000 | | | 2004 | ! |
|------------------------------|------|-------|------------------------------------|--------|-------|----------------------------------|
| | N | % | % Using | Ν | % | % Using |
| Age of Respondent | | | $(\chi^2 = 174.4, p = 0.0)$ | | | $(\chi^2 = 95.3, p = 0.0)$ |
| 15-19 | 948 | 10.1 | 14.7 | 819.0 | 9.8 | 18.6 |
| 20-24 | 2351 | 25.1 | 27.3 | 2251.0 | 26.8 | 28.0 |
| 25-29 | 2041 | 21.8 | 32.2 | 1818.0 | 21.7 | 34.3 |
| 30-34 | 1308 | 14.0 | 34.9 | 1242.0 | 14.8 | 34.2 |
| 35-39 | 1181 | 12.6 | 37.4 | 928.0 | 11.1 | 36.0 |
| 40-44 | 837 | 8.9 | 37.3 | 754.0 | 9.0 | 37.5 |
| 45-49 | 695 | 7.4 | 25.8 | 573.0 | 6.8 | 31.1 |
| Region | | | $(\chi^2 = 2.0, p = 0.4)$ | | | $(\chi^2 = 13.0, p = 0.0)$ |
| Northern region | 1564 | 16.7 | 33.0 | 1109.0 | 13.2 | 40.1 |
| Central region | 3287 | 35.1 | 30.1 | 3056.0 | 36.4 | 31.5 |
| Southern region | 4510 | 48.2 | 29.3 | 4220.0 | 50.3 | 28.8 |
| Place of Residence | | | $(\chi^2 = 100.5, p = 0.0)$ | | | $(\chi^2 = 35.6, p = 0.0)$ |
| Urban | 1853 | 19.8 | 38.2 | 1063.0 | 12.7 | 37.5 |
| Rural | 7508 | 80.2 | 28.2 | 7322.0 | 87.3 | 30.4 |
| Education | | | $(\chi^2 = 124.7, p = 0.0)$ | | | $(\chi^2 = 93.9, p = 0.0)$ |
| None | 2779 | 29.7 | 25.8 | 2234.0 | 26.6 | 25.9 |
| Primary | 5776 | 61.7 | 30.4 | 5261.0 | 62.7 | 31.8 |
| Secondary | 806 | 8.6 | 44.2 | 890.0 | 10.6 | 41.9 |
| No. of Children | | | $(\chi^2 = 396.9, p = 0.0)$ | | | $(\chi^2 = 301.3, p = 0.0)$ |
| Ever Born | 700 | | 2.4 | ()() | 7.5 | 1.4 |
| 0 | 723 | 7.7 | 2.4 | 626.0 | 7.5 | 1.4 |
| 1-2 | 3191 | 34.1 | 24.8 | 2807.0 | 33.5 | 27.9 |
| 3-4 | 2355 | 25.2 | 32.3 | 2268.0 | 27.0 | 34.4 |
| 5+ | 3092 | 33.0 | 40.8 | 2684.0 | 32.0 | 39.3 |
| No. of Living Children | | 10.0 | $(\chi^2 = 556.1, p = 0.0)$ | 916.0 | 0.7 | $(\chi^2 = 405.6, p = 0.0)$ |
| 0 | 1020 | 10.9 | 3.5 | 816.0 | 9.7 | 2.1 |
| 1-2 3-4 | 3834 | 41.0 | 25.7 | 3277.0 | 39.1 | 28.1 36.6 |
| | 2397 | 25.6 | 35.2 | 2373.0 | 28.3 | 42.7 |
| 5+ Tupe of Marriage | 2110 | 22.5 | 45.6 | 1919.0 | 22.9 | |
| Type of Marriage | 7770 | 83.1 | $(\chi^2 = 23.6, p = 0.0)$ 31.0 | 7006.0 | 83.8 | $(\chi^2 = 17.8, p=0.0)$ 32.1 |
| Monogamy | 1575 | 16.9 | 26.2 | 1357.0 | 16.2 | 27.4 |
| Polygamy Marital Duration | 1375 | 10.9 | $(\chi^2 = 143.1, p = 0.0)$ | 1357.0 | 10.2 | $(\chi^2 = 103.3, p = 0.0)$ |
| 0-4 | 2554 | 27.3 | $(\chi = 143.1, p=0.0)$ 21.0 | 2115.0 | 25.2 | $\chi = 105.5, p=0.0)$ 22.2 |
| 5-9 | 2066 | 22.1 | 31.4 | 2157.0 | 25.7 | 34.4 |
| 10-14 | 1564 | 16.7 | 34.5 | 1409.0 | 16.8 | 32.3 |
| 15-19 | 1174 | 12.5 | 36.5 | 1010.0 | 12.0 | 34.5 |
| 20-24 | 951 | 10.2 | 36.7 | 831.0 | 9.9 | 38.9 |
| 25-29 | 709 | 7.6 | 35.3 | 576.0 | 6.9 | 36.3 |
| 30-34 | 343 | 3.7 | 21.9 | 287.0 | 3.4 | 27.2 |
| Husband Approval (F | | 5.7 | $(\chi^2 = 352.6, p = 0.0)$ | 207.0 | 5.1 | $(\chi^2 = 195.8, p = 0.0)$ |
| Disapprove | 1595 | 18.9 | 12.0 | 1288.0 | 16.7 | 16.3 |
| Approve | 6863 | 81.1 | 37.9 | 6409.0 | 83.3 | 37.1 |
| Respondents Approval | | | $(\chi^2 = 143.9, p = 0.0)$ | | | $(\chi^2 = 149.6, p = 0.0)$ |
| Disapprove | 598 | 6.5 | 7.2 | 662.0 | 8.0 | 9.7 |
| Approve | 8652 | 93.5 | 32.1 | 7639.0 | 92.0 | 33.5 |
| Discussion of FP | | | $(\chi^2 = 648.7, p = 0.0)$ | | | $(\chi^2 = 13.1, p = 0.0)$ |
| Never | 4253 | 59.3 | 30.1 | 2439.0 | 29.2 | 14.7 |
| Once or twice | 1484 | 20.7 | 22.0 | 2944.0 | 35.2 | 31.9 |
| More than twice | 1437 | 20.0 | 31.2 | 2976.0 | 35.6 | 44.5 |
| Couple Desire of Child | dren | | $(\chi^2 = 48.7, p = 0.0)$ | | | $(\chi^2 = 503.0, p=0.0)$ |
| Both Want the Same | | 29.8 | 12.1 | 4115.0 | 69.6 | 31.3 |
| Husband wants more | | 35.4 | 31.1 | 1133.0 | 19.2 | 26.5 |
| Husband | 3254 | 34.8 | 44.9 | 667.0 | 11.3 | 30.9 |
| Religion | | | $(\chi^2 = 45.8, p = 0.0)$ | | | $(\chi^2 = 70.7, p = 0.0)$ |
| Christian | 7786 | 83.2 | 31.5 | 6917.0 | 82.5 | 33.3 |
| Muslim | 1425 | 15.2 | 24.1 | 1359.0 | 16.2 | 22.1 |
| No religion | 147 | 1.6 | 20.4 | 69.0 | 0.8 | 15.9 |
| Fertility Preference | | | $(\chi^2 = 78.7, p = 0.0)$ | | | $(\chi^2 = 19.3, p = 0.0)$ |
| Wants more | 5076 | 58.8 | 23.6 | 4528.0 | 58.2 | 26.1 |
| Undecided | 148 | 1.7 | 10.1 | 333.0 | 4.3 | 21.0 |
| Wants no more | 3412 | 39.5 | 32.2 | 2919.0 | 37.5 | 30.6 |
| Total | 9361 | 100.0 | 30.2 | 8385.0 | 100.0 | 31.3 |
| | 2001 | 100.0 | 50.2 | 0505.0 | 100.0 | 51.5 |

| Table 1: Socio-economic characteristics | of currently | married | women and | percentage | using contracep- |
|---|--------------|---------|-----------|------------|------------------|
| tion: Malawi, 2000 and 2004 | | | | - | |

dence. In 2000 Malawian women residing in urban areas are 1.24 times more likely to use contraception than their counterparts residing in rural areas.

The analysis indicates that women's education is one of the strongest predictor of the use of contraceptives in Malawi. In 2000, women with no education were 1.71 less likely to use contraceptive than women who had secondary and higher education whereas women with primary education were 1.64 less likely to use contraceptives than women with secondary education and higher. The same pattern is observed in 2004. The odds ratio decrease as education level of the women increases indicating that the likelihood of using contraceptives increases as the educational level increases.

The analysis also suggests that the number of children ever born and number of living children influence the use of contraception. In 2000, women with no children are 5.78 times less likely to use contraceptives than women with more than 5 children whereas women with 1 to 2 children and women with 3-4 children are 1.71 and 1.54 times less likely to use contraceptives than women in the reference category. The odds ratio increases with an increasing number of children indicating that contraceptives use increases with parity. Furthermore, In 2000, women with no living children are 12.20 times less likely to use contraceptives than women with 5 or more living children whereas women with 1 to 2 living children and women with 3-4 living children are 2.47 and 1.53 times less likely to use contraceptives than women in the reference category. The odds ratio increases with an increasing number of living children indicating that contraceptive use increases as number of living children increases.

As expected, approval of contraceptive by both the partner and respondent and discussion about family planning among spouses affect contraceptive use of currently married women. Table 2 indicates that women whose husbands disapprove the use of contraceptives are 3.05 times less likely to use contraception than women whose husbands approve use of contraceptives. Women who disapprove family planning are 3.42 times less likely to use contraceptives than women who approve. At the same time women who have never discussed family planning are 3.98 times less likely to use contraceptives than women have discussed family planning issues with their spouses more than twice. Women who discussed family planning once or twice are 1.56 times less likely to use contraceptives than women who have discussed family planning with their spouses more than twice.

Contraceptive use in Malawi is also influenced by work status. Women who are not working are 1.26 times less likely to use contraceptives than women who are working. Women who have note visited a health facility are 1.26 times less likely to use contraceptives than women have visited a health facility.

CONCLUSION

Family planning is acknowledged in most developing countries to be an effective way of improving the health of mothers and children and plays leading roles in mortality and fertility transitions. Family planning also influences women empowerment. Although Malawi has registered tremendous increase in contraceptive use, fertility has remained stubbornly high. In order to explain this disparity this paper examined the relationship between selected socioeconomic variables and current use of contraception among currently married women in Malawi. Multivariate analyses identified age, education, children ever born, number of living children, husbands approval of family planning, respondents approval of family planning, discussion of family planning with partner, partners occupation and respondent's work status.as the most important explanatory variables of current contraceptive use in Malawi. These factors have to be considered in the redesigning of the national family planning programme in Malawi.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Finally, the determinants of contraceptive use in Malawi, as presented in this study, have policy and programme implications for Malawi and for other African countries with similar social, cultural and economic conditions. First, the Malawi National Family Planning Programme should intensify not only its information, education and communication programmes on family planning to cover particularly the neglected rural areas but also, more importantly, adjust them to suit local conditions. In order to win more clients there is need for a continuous dialogue on the various contraceptive methods between

| Table 2: Results | of logistic regressio | on for current |
|-------------------|-----------------------|----------------|
| use of contracept | ion, Malawi 2000 | and 2004 |

| Table 2. Conturn | Table | 2: | Contd |
|------------------|-------|----|-------|
|------------------|-------|----|-------|

| use of contraception, Malaw | | |
|-----------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| Variables | | s ratios |
| | 2000 | 2004 |
| Age of Respondent | | |
| 15-19 | 2.147^{*} | 3.271** |
| 20-24 | 2.325** | 2.575^{**} |
| 25-29 | 2.043^{*} | 2.319^{*} |
| 30-34 | 1.461 | 1.950^{*} |
| 35-39 | 1.437 | 1.405 |
| 40-44 | 1.160 | 1.168 |
| 45-49 ® | 1.100 | 1.100 |
| Children Ever Born | | |
| 0 | 0.173** | 0.256^{*} |
| 1-2 | 0.585* | 1.125 |
| 3-4 | | |
| | 0.649** | 1.020 |
| 5+ ® | | |
| Number of Living Children | 0.000** | 0.045** |
| 0 | 0.082^{**} | 0.045** |
| 1-2 | 0.405** | 0.269** |
| 3-4 | 0.654^{**} | 0.579^{**} |
| 5+ ® | | |
| Fertility Preferences | | |
| Wants | 1.027 | 1.054 |
| Undecided | 0.431* | 0.961 |
| No more ® | 01101 | 01701 |
| Type of Marriage | | |
| Monogamy | 1.082 | 1.133 |
| Polygamy ® | 1.002 | 1.155 |
| | | |
| Marital Duration | 1.041 | 1 427 |
| 0-4 | 1.941 | 1.427 |
| 5-9 | 2.196^{*} | 1.607 |
| 10-14 | 1.793 | 1.172 |
| 15-19 | 1.510 | 1.051 |
| 20-24 | 1.338 | 1.277 |
| 25-29 | 1.395 | 1.186 |
| 30+ ® | | |
| Region | | |
| Northern Region | 0.947 | 1.521** |
| Central Region | 0.834^{*} | 0.875 |
| Southern Region ® | 01001 | 0.070 |
| Type of Residence | | |
| Urban | 1.238^{*} | 1.183 |
| Rural ® | 1.250 | 1.105 |
| | | |
| Education | 0 5 9 5 ** | 0 552** |
| None | 0.585** | 0.552** |
| Primary | 0.610^{**} | 0.703** |
| Secondary and over ® | | |
| Work Status | | |
| No | 0.793** | 0.865^{*} |
| Yes ® | | |
| Spouse Approval of FP | | |
| Disapproves | 0.328** | 0.633** |
| Approves ® | | |
| Respondent Approval FP | | |
| Disapproves | 0.292** | 0.379** |
| Approves ® | 0.272 | 0.577 |
| Couple Desire of Children | | |
| | 1 001 | 0.094 |
| Both want the same | 1.001 | 0.984 |
| Husband more | 0.976 | 0.901 |
| Husband fewer ® | | |
| Husband-Wife Discussion | | |
| Never | 0.251** | 0.339** |
| Once or twice | 0.642** | 0.661** |
| | | |

| Variables | Odds ratios | | | |
|-------------------------|--------------|-------------|--|--|
| | 2000 | 2004 | | |
| Wealth Status | | | | |
| Poor | 0.558^{*} | 0.697 | | |
| Medium | 0.693 | 0.742 | | |
| Rich ® | | | | |
| Child Dead | | | | |
| No | 0.973 | 1.024 | | |
| Yes ® | | | | |
| Heard FP on Radio | | | | |
| No | 1.012 | 1.075 | | |
| Yes ® | | | | |
| Heard FP on TV | | | | |
| No | 0.748^{*} | 0.825 | | |
| Yes ® | | | | |
| Heard FP Newspaper | | | | |
| No | 0.936 | 0.942 | | |
| Yes ® | | | | |
| Visited by FP Worker | | | | |
| No | 0.956 | 1.248^{*} | | |
| Yes ® | | | | |
| Visited Health Facility | | | | |
| No | 0.793** | 0.811** | | |
| Yes ® | | | | |
| Constant | 2.704^{**} | 1.162 | | |

* = p<0.05, **=p<0.000

service providers and clients so as to allay some of the clients' fears about supposed side effects of contraception. Second, the family planning IEC should target both men and women. Special emphasis should be put on encouraging men to play a leading role in family planning.

The importance of husband-wife communication in relation to fertility decision making is also emphasized by these findings. Malawian society is largely male-dominated, even with regard to female reproductive health, so men's involvement in family planning can therefore hardly be over-emphasized. One of the crucial factors which have hindered successful implementation of the family planning programme in Malawi is minimal male involvement. This is perhaps not unrelated to male fertility preferences. The establishment of more family planning programmes for the men at work-places should help to improve communication between spouses and thereby promote more discussion on family planning and other health related issues.

Furthermore, it is crucial to continue improving girls and young women access to education in the country, as this is important avenue for increasing the women's use of modern contraceptives and for empowering women so as to enhance their active participation in market economy. Similarly, it is advisable to target young women, particularly those with no or little education, with information on reproductive health and to provide them with basic life skills to enable them to avoid early sexual activity and ultimately early marriage.

With regard to increasing the use of modern contraceptive methods, there are a number of conclusions and strategic implications that flow from these findings. Teenagers should be given priority. All opportunities, namely, the school system, youth associations, religious organisations, traditional leaders, communities and families should be sensitised and educated about contraception. Mass communication should be thought of and organized to increase knowledge of available options and access, while interpersonal communication should be considered at the community level to induce changes in contraceptive use.

STUDY LIMITATIONS

Although our interest is in exploring the relationship between contraceptive use and socio-economic factors, our study has some limitations. First, the reporting of current contraceptive use might be inaccurate. This might arise from the fact that in traditional societies any discussion on sex and sex-related subjects are regarded as a taboo. This challenge might be more severe in remote rural areas where literacy levels are low and health centres that are a source of family planning may not be readily available. Also, in such societies the use of contraceptives may be regarded being promiscuous, "loose" and immoral. Second, our study includes only currently married women. This may bias downward contraceptive prevalence because women who have never married or formerly married were excluded. Third, the study includes only women so there might be still much unknown about trends and determinants of contraceptive use among men.

Lastly, a detailed examination of contraceptive use also requires an understanding of the cultural changes in a society. In most national datasets including MDHSs cultural variables are not available since the focus is on structural variables. Data on cultural change are typically obtained from attitudinal studies. Shifts in people's attitudes on different issues generally reflect changes in cultural norms and values. However, DHSs, like many datasets does not as yet collect data on people's attitudes. This prevented us from understanding the cultural component of contraceptive use. Despite these limitations, we hope this study will shed some light on the factors influencing contraceptive use in Malawi.

REFERENCES

- Adanu RMK, Seffah JD, Hill AG, Darko R, Duda RB, Anarfi JF 2009. Contraceptive use by women in Accra, Ghana: Results from the 2003 Accra Women's Health Survey. *African Journal of Reproductive Health*, 13(1): 123-134.
- ACQUIRE Project 2005. Moving Family Planning Programs Forward: Learning from Success in Zambia, Malawi, and Ghana. New York: The AC-QUIRE Project/Engender Health.
- Audu B, Yahya S, Geidama A, AbduSsalam H, Takai I, Kyari O 2008. Polygamy and the use of contraceptives. *International Journal of Gynaecology and Obstetrics*, 101(1): 88-92.
- Black A, Yang Q, Wen SW, Lalonde AB, Guilbert E, Fisher W 2009. Contraceptive use among canadian women of reproductive age: Results of a national survey. *Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology Canada*, 31(7): 627–640
- Caldwell JC, Caldwell P 1987. The cultural context of high fertility in sub-Saharan Africa. *Population and Development Review*, 18(2): 409-437.
- Chimbwete C, Watkins SC, Zulu EM 2005. The evolution of population policies in Kenya and Malawi. *Population Research and Policy Review*, 24: 85– 106.
- Cohen B 2000. Family planning programs, socioeconomic characteristics, and contraceptive use in Malawi. *World Development*, 28(5): 843-860.
- Curtis SL, Katherine N 1996. Contraceptive Knowledge, Use and Sources, Demographic and Health Survey Comparative Studies No.19. Calverton, Mary land: International Inc.
- Dang A 1995. Differentials in contraceptive use and method choice in Vietnam. *International Family Planning Perspectives*, 21(1): 2–5.
- Feyisetan BJ 2000. Spousal communication and contraceptive use among the Yoruba of Nigeria. Population Research and Policy Review, 19(1): 29-45.
- Gage A 1995. Women's socio-economic position and contraceptive behaviour in Togo. *Studies in Family Planning* 26: 264–277.
- Gregson S, Zhuwau T, Anderson RM, Chandiwana SK 1999. Apostles and Zionists: The influence of religion on demographic change in rural Zimbabwe. *Population Studies*, 53: 179–193.
- Gyimah SO, Takyi B, Tenkorang EY 2008. Denominational affiliation and fertility behaviour in an African context: An examination of couple data from Ghana. Journal of Biosocial Science, 40: 445–458.

340

- Hialemariam A, Berhanu B, Hogan DP 1999. Household organization women's autonomy and contraceptive behaviour in southern Ethiopia. *Studies in Family Planning*, 30(34): 302-314.
 Kalanda B 2010. Repositioning family planning
- Kalanda B 2010. Repositioning family planning through community based distribution agents in Malawi. *Malawi Medical Journal*, 22(3): 71-75.
- Kalipeni E, Zulu EM 1993. Gender differences in knowledge and attitudes toward modern and traditional methods of child spacing in Malawi. *Population Research and Policy Review*, 12(2): 103-121.
- Kishindo P 1995. Family planning and the Malawian male. Nordic Journal of African Studies, 4(1): 26-34.
- Madise NJ, Diamond I 1993. Traditional and Modern Methods of Birth Spacing in Malawi. *Department* of Social Statistics Working Paper No. 93-08. UK: University of Southampton.
- Malawi Government 1994a. National Population Policy. Office of the President and Cabinet, Department of Economic Planning and Development, Lilongwe.
- Malawi Government 1994b. Malawi Demographic and Health Survey 1992. National Statistical Office and Macro International Inc., Zomba.
- Malawi Government 2002. Malawi Demographic and Health Survey 2000. National Statistical Office and Macro International Inc., Zomba.
- Malawi Government 2006. Malawi Demographic and Health Survey 2004. National Statistical Office and Macro International Inc., Zomba.
- Malawi Government 2010a. Malawi Demographic and Health Survey 2010. National Statistical Office and Macro International Inc., Zomba, 2011.
- Malawi Government 2010b. 2008 Population and Housing Census: Preliminary Report. National Statistical Office, Zomba.
- Mamdani M, Garner P, Harpham T, Campbell O 1983. Fertility and contraceptive use in poor urban areas of developing countries. *Health Policy and Planning*, 8(1): 1-18.
- National Research Council 1993. Factors Affecting Contraceptive Use in Sub-Saharan Africa. Washington, DC: National Academy Press.
- Nwankwo BO, Ogueri E 2006. Influence of husband's decision on the use of modern contraceptives among

rural and urban married women in Imo State, Nigeria. *International Journal of Tropical Medicine*, 1(40): 140-144.

- Oni GA, McCarthy J 1986. Use of contraceptives for birth spacing in a Nigerian city. *Studies in Family Planning*, 17(4): 163-171.
- Robey B, Rutstein SO, Morris L 1992. The Reproductive Revolution: New Survey Findings. *Population Reports, Series M, Number 11.*
- Rutherford RD, Thapa S, Desilva V 1989. Strength of fertility motivation: Its effects on contraceptive use in rural Sri Lanka. Asia Pacific Population Journal, 4(4): 21-44.
- Saleem S, Martin B 2005. Women's autonomy, education and contraception use in Pakistan: A national study. *Reproductive Health*, 1-8.
- Shah NM, Shah MA, Radovanovic Z 1998. Patterns of desired fertility and contraceptive use in Kuwait. *International Family Planning Perspectives*, 24(3): 133–138.
- Solo J, Jacobstein R, Malema D 2005 Repositioning Family Planning—Malawi Case Study: Choice, Not Chance. New York: The ACQUIRE Project/Engender Health.
- Stephenson R, Baschieri A, Clements S, Hennink M, Madise N 2007. Contextual influences on modern contraceptive use in Sub-Saharan Africa. American Journal of Public Health, 97(7): 1233–1240.
- Tawiah, EO 1997. Factors affecting contraceptive use in Ghana. Journal of Biosocial Science, 29: 141-149.
- Ullah S, Chakraborty N 1993. Factors affecting the use of contraception in Bangladesh: A multivariate analysis. *Asia-Pacific Population Journal*, 8(3): 19-30.
- United Nations 2011. World Contraceptive Use Data Sheet. New York.
- UNDP 2009. Human Development Report 2009. New York and Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Vassoff C 1990 Fertility intentions and subsequent behaviour: A longitudinal study in rural India. *Studies in Family Planning*, 21(4): 216-225.
 Yeatman SE, Trinitapoli J 2008. The relationship be-
- Yeatman SE, Trinitapoli J 2008. The relationship between religion and family planning in rural Malawi. *Demographic Research*, 19(55): 1851-1882.